



# Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI JESUM

DEDICATED TO MARY MOTHER OF GOD

---

## A HELL OF A SITUATION

“The rich man also died and was buried and from the netherworld, where he was in torment ... [he cried out] I am suffering torment in these flames. ... warn them, lest they too come to this place of torment.” (Saint Luke 16:19-31)



**“The Hell there isn’t!”**

**I**t is *frighteningly* odd. We no longer hear of of Hell ... although we see it

leaching into our lives and the lives of those around us everywhere — it crouches, either feeding on our malice and greed, or lurking in some obscure corner of our lives that shrinks from the light.

Contrary to “progressive” theological speculation, Hell has not been abolished ... nor has its rage abated. Look around you.

Like children fearing to invoke the very evil they fear through merely *uttering* it, we have somehow convinced ourselves that if we resolutely ignore Hell, it will go away; that if we *pretend* that there is no such place, then it will become a fiction and therefore we have nothing to fear ... and also nothing to avoid. It is — we are told, and therefore tell ourselves — a quaint vestige of pre-enlightened and distinctly medieval thought, of long gone days of dismal dogma; in fact a notion abolished after Vatican II as unkind, as severe, and therefore “unworthy” of God. This is not true.

But still, we whistle in the dark as we pass a graveyard or a place of darkness fraught with a sense of looming evil. Odd. Very odd.

Despite all that Christ has told us, all that Holy Mother the Church has taught us, we will not hear of it ... we insist on *our* way. Christ knows this. That is why He gives us the **Parable of the Rich Man**.<sup>1</sup> Do you doubt it?

Ask yourself this: have you *ever* (that is to say, *even once*) been to a funeral Mass where the bereaved are *not* told, indeed, *completely assured*, that their dead (who, like the rest of the congregation, had apparently never sinned) are *already* in Heaven smiling down benignly on our obsequies even as we utter them?

### **The *real* illusion ... and it is not Hell**

It matters not that “the departed” were cruel and miserly, utterly indifferent to the poor; that they profited from the pain, misery, sin and degradation of others, caring nothing for God and even less for men — *and we know it!* We knew it *while yet they lived*, and were ourselves often keenly aware of their selfishness, their lust, pride, and greed — even their open depravity. Unrepentant to the moment of that clap of thunder that ended the illusion of tomorrow, they went to death as they had lived — and we “celebrate their lives” ... instead of trembling before their death. In the lowest octave of our “celebration” we instinctively discern a deeply dissonant note that is discordant with our carefully revised narrative. It is deeper than the human voice, and more ancient still. We know that we “celebrate” a

fiction of our own making to dispel the remorseless truth that stirs uneasily within us: that Heaven alone is not, after all, the abode of all our dead; that we have something to deeply lament, rather than celebrate; in fact something to *fear* rather than to rejoice in.

Incredibly, it has become not just the same fiction but [the narrative of Jorge Bergoglio \(Pope Francis\)](#) — despite all that Christ said to the contrary!

Has the question, let alone the concern, of the dead’s urgent and utter need for every possible prayer ever *once* so much as arisen? Are we *ever* invited, urged, to so much as *pray* for our dead? \* Are they not in need of our prayers? They were in life, yes? But somehow death appears to have abrogated this necessity. **For all practical purposes and appearances, “being dead” is synonymous with “being canonized”. The dead, in every aspect of today’s liturgy, are, as it were, “by right” (and *rite* ...) — in virtue of the fact that they are dead — already “in the company of the Angels and Saints.”**

Strangely enough, we acknowledge *ourselves* to be sinners — if we acknowledge sin at all — but in a remarkable dispensation that quite suddenly becomes concomitant with death, *not the recently departed* ... who yesterday was “one among us”, that is to say, a sinner also. What he needs most, the “celebrant” carefully contrives to conceal from us: *the need of our prayers*. One day —

*perhaps this day* — *I* will need them ... and so will *you*.

## **We no longer pray for our dead**

Why is this?

Praying for the dead is very closely connected to a sober recognition of the reality of ... *other alternatives* than Heaven. Lesser alternatives, *frightening* alternatives, even *everlasting* alternatives. We wish to spare our dead either a measure of that privative state of purgation preparatory to Heaven through the suffrage of our prayers, or were it possible, the pains of Hell through an impassioned petition to the Judge.<sup>2</sup> In any event, the outcome at least *admits* of doubt in terms of clearly distinguishable consignments.

## **Monuments and mirrors**

For many years we could find the following inscribed on tombstones both in Europe and America:

“AS YOU ARE, I ONCE WAS;



AS I AM YOU SHALL BE”

It was as much a reminder of the brevity of this life as an admonition to live our lives in recognition of realities that we cannot avoid, minimize, or simply wish away — and that these realities, moreover, will correspond with how we have lived.

Of two things we are certain: that we die, and that following our death we will either live forever or we will not.

If we do not, we have nothing to hope for and nothing to fear. We are not Catholics. We are not even Christians. We are atheists and everything ultimately means nothing. But if we are either, we *do* — we have an *abundance* of the one or the other: either much to *hope* for or much to *fear*.

We reject the first option offhand, that is to say, the notion that death brings total extinction. We are ... after all ... Catholics, and that flies in the face of everything Christ said and did.

But neither do we embrace the alternative (of either *much* to hope for or *much* to fear), at least in the eschatological terms enunciated by Christ Himself involving death, judgment, Heaven, and Hell.

We cannot have both.

Neither, then — in our preferential and subjective cosmology that accords with neither reason nor revelation — can we have a Heaven *and* a Hell. So we abolish Hell much in the way that we may succeed in abolishing Mount Aetna by our *preferring* to say that it is not there, despite persistent and troubling reports that it is.

Dives, the rich man in this parable, would have a decidedly different opinion on the matter — were he present to offer it, but Dives is being ... detained.

Indefinitely. Even eternally. Or Christ is a liar.

“Go to my brother”, he would importune us, as he did Abraham. Unfortunately, we ourselves would tell him very much what Abraham told him: “It would be of no avail.”

“*They think you're in Heaven!*” The priest *told* them so; he *assured* them ... remember? They think that you are looking *down* on them, having no clue that, could you see, all that you would see of them would be the soles of their shoes!

It's a hell of a situation: Priest, Rabbi, Minister, even their psychologists, are all of one accord: there is no such thing as Hell. To which we respond:

“*The Hell there isn't!*”

Ah, the price of constant gratification! Yes, such lies console the bereaved, but are *a definite disservice to the dead* who stand much in need of prayer and, could they tell you, would be eternally grateful for it.

But even if *they* did — like the rich man in the parable — you would not believe them either ... would you?



---

\* Of course, during the Mass the names of those who have died recently are, in fact, announced, and a perfunctory prayer is offered for them — but rarely with pleas for mercy since mercy presumes *sin* ... and the *hope* of forgiveness by God.

Geoffrey K. Mondello  
Editor  
Boston Catholic Journal



Copyright © 2004 - 2022 Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.