



Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI JESUM

DEDICATED TO MARY MOTHER OF GOD

www.boston-catholic-journal.com
editor@boston-catholic-journal.com



Look *carefully* at this emblematic vision of Vatican II in the *Pope Paul VI Audience Hall*. It is, for want of any other aesthetic description, demonic and blasphemous, hideous and frightening — a sign of times to come — that are now here.

Hideous — is it not?

It has not changed much — apart from its growing defection from what the Holy Catholic Church taught for 2000 years before that most pernicious council.

It is increasingly elderly, gray, and disheveled. Its children — fewer and fewer every year — are largely the children of the world, checking off the boxes branded on their young minds by a perverse generation that has lost its Catholic Faith and Identity: for Abortion (yes), Homosexuality (yes), Lesbianism (yes), Transgenderism (yes), Gender Identity Choices (yes), Gender Re-assignment (yes), Euthanasia (yes), Cremation (yes), Pre-Marital Sex (yes), Co-Habitation (yes), “Social Justice” Issues (yes!) — the proper formation of a Catholic Conscience (NO!), Chastity (NO!) Virginity until Marriage (NO!) Sanctity (NO), learning the most fundamental aspects of the Catholic Religion into which they were summarily Baptized (NO!) the Priesthood (NO!), Religious Life (NO!) — Missionary zeal and the conversion of sinners and those who do not know Christ — which Francis (perhaps heretically) calls “solemn nonsense.” (NO!) When they look about them at their fellow “Catholics” and” Priests”, “Nuns” and “Religious” — even the papacy of Francis and the current Episcopacy (bishops and cardinals) — who can blame them? The Faith is not even authentically lived out by the *highest* prelates — and sadly enough by Francis himself who gives scandal on a routine basis.

A Lie too Big to Possibly Refute?

They would tell us that our conception of the Holy Catholic Church *prior to* Vatican II is naïve and simplistic — that it has no correspondence with reality and never did. Revisionist history, however, has become an ideology — an iconoclastic tool for suppressing what is not consistent with prevailing values in academia: principal among which is that absolutely *nothing* in the past was *really* as it had been taught us.

We have all been deceived. All academics and historians *preceding* the present Ideological Revisionists were not scholars at all; they were biased, mendacious, and inept, with a vested interest in perpetuating myths and lies — although this vested interest is never explained, and *cannot possibly be* without indicting the present generation of Historical Ideologues as well. Indeed, we no longer have historians at all, but an ideological cadre of iconoclastic “historiographers”, ready to discredit any actually contemporary description of history as it was lived by those contemporaneous with it. It is, after all, their “job”, their income, to discredit anything that had been held as true and authentic. This is what any good “historiographer” does: he *speculates* upon the most exiguous and often specious evidence to disprove that what actually was ... actually was not what it actually was. The “good guys” become the “villains”, and the “villains” the “good guys”.

Catholicism became “imperialistic” when it abolished human sacrifice in the Aztec ... “faith community” ... as it would now be called. “Let them do their own thing without imposing your colonial values on others!” As Francis might say, they lived “closer to the earth” ... and were, *eo ipso*, better than other cultures that valued women, children and human life several feet above it. Ripping the still-beating hearts of its victims and then hurling them down the temple made of thousands of human skulls to appease the pagan god Huitzilopochtli ¹ is more acceptable by far, you understand, than the preaching of the Gospel of Jesus Christ — especially the Sermon on the Mount. It was foreign to their “culture” after all ...

To return to our contention, we must ask, was the Catholic Church prior to its paganization subsequent to Vatican II *really* a better Church, a holier Church? Was the Faith really taken very seriously? Did it actually produce saints? Was it unsparing in apostolic zeal, in the formation of holy priests, missionaries, nuns, and Religious sisters? **Absolutely! Unquestionably!** By any metric it flourished and *was flourishing* — **until** the convocation of Second Vatican Council ...

It is not the case that the Church quite suddenly attained to the apex of her mission and mandate in 1960 and was ready to usher in the Parousia — after which its religious and moral decline was inevitable. It was *thriving!* Whence, then, the *extraordinarily serious* measure of convoking a council in 1962 (given that the 17th century Cardinal Pallavicini — the noted historian of the *Council of Trent itself* — had famously warned that to *propose* to convoke *any* General Council, except through direst necessity, is to tempt God Himself! ²⁾

There was, however, **an excruciating question in the mind of Angelo Giuseppe Roncalli (John XXIII)**: a question never quite settled; and it was a question, a doubt, that relentlessly tormented him: **was the “inspiration” to convoke a council, which he had experienced— divine or diabolical?** Very clearly, as we had noted, there was no *dire necessity* in 1962. The Church was thriving in Her members, Her seminaries, in Her Religious and Missionary Orders, and consequently in conversions to the Holy Catholic Faith. Whatever manic episode, or turgid epiphany “inspired” Roncalli to move beyond the excruciating doubt that afflicted him (that is to say, as to whether the impetus that motivated him was *divine* or *diabolical*) — by the Age of Aquarius, John XXIII, infatuated with the world, rashly and needlessly convoked a Council to deal with a problem that did not exist until he created it.

We invite you to view a vignette of the Holy Catholic Church as it *really* was — and is no longer. The pictures indeed speak more than any words: <http://www.boston-catholic-journal.com/the-real-legacy-of-vatican-II-a-renewal-that-became-a-requiem-the-death-of-two-monasteries.htm>. And, while I think of it, Vatican II as the Model of the Failed Corporation: <http://www.boston-catholic-journal.com/vatican-ii-the-model-of-the-failed-corporation.htm>

We also invite you to return to the image at the top of this page — to the time when the poisons called Modernism and Ecumenism were first injected into the Body of Christ — and to behold a prophetic vision of what it would become. It is frightening. All that is counterfeit and ugly always is.

¹ <https://www.history.com/news/aztec-human-sacrifice-religion>

² *History of the Council of Trent*, by Cardinal Francesco Maria Sforza Pallavicini, translated to Latin by Fr. Giattini, SJ (Antwerp, 1670)



Copyright © 2004 - 2019 Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.