



Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI JESUM

DEDICATED TO MARY MOTHER OF GOD

www.boston-catholic-journal.com
editor@boston-catholic-journal.com

NON HABEMUS PAPAM?



**Do we NOT have a Pope of the
“Roman Catholic Church” ... after all?**

It is typically the prerogative of the Protodeacon of the College of Cardinals who ceremoniously proclaims the election of a new pope with the words “*Habemus Papam!*”, or “We have a Pope” — following a conclave of Cardinal Electors who nominated him.

With something far more profound and painful than “regret”, **we have come to acknowledge what had become increasingly obvious** — and which found its clearest expression, its culmination, in the neo-pagan “papacy” of Jorge Bergoglio (“Francis”).

THE SEE OF ROME IS NO LONGER CATHOLIC,
AS CATHOLICISM HAD BEEN UNDERSTOOD
AND PRACTICED FOR THE 2000 YEARS
PRECEDING VATICAN II

That is to say, it had ceased to be identifiably and authentically Catholic following that calamitous consistory known to us as “Vatican II” which occurred between October 11, 1962 until December 8, 1965. Since **that initial and unprecedented defection of Angelo Giuseppe Roncalli — “John XXIII”** — together with what appear to have been at least

latently apostate cardinals who nominated him to implement a **Modernist agenda** replete with the heretical ideologies that define it — and which **Saint Pope Pius X** had clearly enumerated in his encyclical [*Pascendi Dominici Gregis*](#) in 1907, stating that **Modernism** is the “**synthesis of all heresies**”, and therefore unequivocally antithetical to the One, True, Holy Catholic Church of the preceding 2000 years).

To be clear, we maintain that Bergoglio, as a matter of public record and verifiable assertions is merely the *de facto* “pope” of what is, in every aspect, a **new religion** altogether. In other words, **Jorge is, in fact, an actively presiding High Priest — of a *spurious* “Conciliar Church” that is distinct from, and opposed to, the *authentic* “Holy Roman Catholic Church” of 2000 years and, as such, is *eo ipso* without any lawful ecclesiastical authority. The **conclave** that elected him was overwhelmingly compromised and canonically unlawful; and while *appearing* to have the faculties of electors — but obstinately remaining in a state of heresy — they in fact possessed none of the requisite legitimate credentials. [See Saint Gallen conspiratorial group](#)**

We equally maintain, but with *greater* vigor still, that the counterfeit papacy of Jorge Bergoglio is unquestionably the most *perfidious* and *destructive* in the 2000 year history of the Catholic Church. We do not “judge” *the man* — that is reserved to God. We do, however, examine his *public* statements and actions in light of the Sacred Deposit

of Faith and Tradition (*Tradere*: “to hand down, to pass on”) entrusted to Holy Mother Church by God — and find many of them irreconcilable with Sacred Scripture, Sacred Tradition, the *Depositum Fidei*, and the canons of logic.

The question now is **WHERE** are we to find the One, True, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church from time immemorial? It **is** and **ever will be**. Christ promised as much. But if the First See can no longer be found in Rome, where is it? Where is the Chair of Saint Peter? And if we *can* discover it, who occupies it? — if anyone! If we hold that “the Chair is empty” — are we to be understood as “Sedevacantists” ... or simply “orthodox”?

GOD BY ANY OTHER NAME ...

Is, then, being an **orthodox** Catholic, or even a Sedevacantist (who holds that the Chair of Saint Peter is *temporarily* vacant) more **scandalous** than a “Conciliar Catholic” who maintains that there is no inconsistency in worshipping Pachamama idols together with Jesus Christ, or who holds that the God of the Catholic Saints and Martyrs is the same god as Islam’s Allah (a concept *no* Muslim would tolerate)? Or, for that matter, that the Sixth Commandment against adultery is not incompatible with divorce, and that, moreover, cohabitating adulterers can receive Holy Communion in good conscience — *no matter what*

God said! Are “**Post-Conciliar Catholics**” more in keeping with the mind of Christ in promoting “Accompaniment” (in sin, more often than not) as more vital than *conversion* to the end of the *salvation of souls*—indeed, that the efforts of Missionaries to proselytize pagans into the true Faith is really just so much “solemn nonsense”, as Jorge describes it?

We believe, rather, that we must be understood simply as **orthodox Catholics had always been understood:** as faithful to the Sacred Deposit of Faith and the *authentic* Magisterium of the Church over the two millennia preceding “Vatican II”, the wildly vaunted “Aggiornamento”, and the unmitigated abdication of Catholicism in the pursuit of a pseudo-Ecumenism that has increasingly become pantheistic. Largely secular venues such as discrete national states, politics, economics, environmentalism, commercial ventures, social justice, global warming, immigration, sovereign borders, aboriginal cultures, plastic in the ocean — to mention a few — have no place in an institution established solely to the end of the **salvation of souls!** Their strident advocates are many and broadly distributed in the “City of Man”. Only one institution — the Holy Catholic Church — is the sole advocate of the “City of God” to which it calls all men to eternal salvation and everlasting happiness.

Much, much, more remains to be said — so much of *authentic* Catholicism remains to be articulated against the **hyphenated Catholics**:

- New-Age Catholics
- Charismatic-Catholics
- Neo-Catholics
- Progressive-Catholics
- Traditional-Catholics
- Othodox-Catholics
- Liberal-Catholics
- Conservative-Catholics
- Trad-Catholics
- Neo-Trad Catholics

So much remains to be re-acquired because it has not been taught for 60 years; it has been forgotten, contemptuously dismissed, suppressed, and **ridiculed by Jorge as “rigid”**, and by his five predecessors as **“unenlightened by the new and ever-evolving secular times”** — or as Jorge dismissively claims, **“Stuck in the past”**:

- Joseph Ratzinger (“Benedict XVI”)
- Karol Józef Wojtyła (“John Paul II”)
- Albino Luciani (“John Paul I”)
- Giovanni Montini (“Paul VI”)

- Angelo Roncalli (“John XXIII”) the Proto-New-Age-Pontiff with the clarion to *“throw open the windows of the Church”*

By this assessment, our Blessed Lord Jesus Christ is the paradigm of “rigidity! He most certainly was inflexible in His teachings! May we be as inflexible, and as rigid, as our Lord and Savior!

Of course we understand that such insistence on authentic Catholicism, on the factual rendering of the Gospels and Epistles, the Church Fathers, and the Sacred Deposit of Faith and Tradition will be greeted with much enmity, contempt, ridicule, and disdain. Actually, we delight in this!

Ever we have held that a faithful Catholic cannot be on mutually good terms with the World and God.

We choose God.

“If the world hate you, know ye, that it hath hated Me before you. If you had been of the world, the world would love its own: but because you are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you.” (Saint John 15.18-19)

O, hope beyond joy!

II.

It remains to be said that the reluctant position into which we have found ourselves forced was **not of our own choosing**; it was, and remains deeply painful. Obedience to the pope was the *sine qua non* of every orthodox Catholic. It was unthinkable that a pope would openly contradict God, Holy Scripture, the Sacred Deposit of Faith, and Tradition. Indeed, *to defend all four* was, as we say, his “job description” — even to the point of the shedding of his blood. He was the faithful shepherd when all others fled. He laid down his life for his flock — as Christ did for him. He did not lead them into strange and foreign pastures, nor did he open the gate of the sheepfold to flocks that were not his own. Should a wolf in sheep’s clothing attempt to enter, his staff was ready and swift. After all, the sheep were entrusted to him, *to do his master’s will* — **not his own**. When this obligation to obedience, however, became obedience to sin and false gods, we fled the false shepherd who urged us to “accompany” him in implementing the priorities **of the world**, rather than the evangel of Christ.

We never left the sheepfold and never will — it was **the shepherd who fled the fold** to bring in recreants to mingle with and adulterate the faithful, and we can no longer call him our own — who belongs to these strange others as well. In the absence of a true shepherd, if we must bar

the gate ourselves and at so great a cost to ourselves, bar it we will until the one with the Key arrives at the time of God's choosing.



Copyright © 2004 - 2021 Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.