



# Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI JESUM

DEDICATED TO MARY, MOTHER OF GOD

“Salus animarum Suprema Lex esto — The Salvation of Souls is the Supreme Law in the Church” (Canon Law 1752)

---

[www.boston-catholic-journal.com](http://www.boston-catholic-journal.com)  
[editor@boston-catholic-journal.com](mailto:editor@boston-catholic-journal.com)

**“Pope Francis invites the whole Church to question itself ...**

**on [far more than] synodality”**

<https://www.synod.va/en.html>



**The Equivocal “vision” of Vatican II unfolds with this final dissolution of the apocryphal “Post-Conciliar-catholic-church”**

“Father Congar, of blessed memory\*, once said: **“There is no need to create another Church, but to create a different Church”** *That is the challenge.* For a “different Church”

“ADDRESS OF HIS HOLINESS POPE FRANCIS  
FOR THE OPENING OF THE SYNOD”

**New Synod Hall**

**Saturday, 9 October 2021)**

<https://www.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/speeches/2021/october/documents/20211009-apertura-camminosinodale.html>

**Yves Congar, O.P.** is “of blessed memory” only to Bergoglio and his fellow liberal and anti-Catholic clerics of the 60’s for whom **unbridled ecumenism** — nothing less than a **universal religion** replete with competing, contradictory, and logically conflicting gods and doctrines — was the ultimate schema, design, and objective: the synthesis of all religions through a fraudulent and logically

*irreconcilable conflation of deities*. The resulting “**Ecumenical Deity**” bears no resemblance to the Catholic conception of God articulated through Sacred Scripture, Sacred Tradition, the Church Fathers, and 2000 years of Church Dogma and Teaching. To be fair, we must say that it would bear no resemblance to *any other* religion that understands itself and its own identity as both unique and discrete from every “other religion”.

In other words, this attempt to **fuse, or commingle the deities** of several thousand religions worldwide such that to worship one particular deity, or god, is understood as equally and simultaneously worshipping *every other* god is unprecedented. It clearly is not compatible with **monotheistic** religions which worship one deity only, **to the exclusion of every other deity**, such as Christianity, Judaism, and Islam — each of which (until Francis) repudiates the other two.

In easily understandable terms, for a Muslim to claim that in prayer he is **also** worshipping Jesus Christ at the same time — will literally cost him his head. Doing so in Islam is considered ارتداد, apostasy, the sin of idolatry and polytheism for which his life is forfeit.

## Logic 101: True or False — but not both

It does not take an educated Catholic, or even a *well*-educated Catholic, let alone the Catholic intellectual, to understand the logical breach that such a pan-ecumenical endeavor entails. **It simply stands to reason**: two (or many more) mutually exclusive and absolutely antagonistic religions **cannot be reconciled** in a rational world, that is to say, in a world inseparable from the most basic logical canons: there are only two possible truth-values a statement can have: **“It is True or It is False”** — and *every* statement is either true or false — **but not both**.

Let us state this even more simply: one cannot both **be** and **not be** a Catholic (or Muslim, or Jew, or Hindu, etc.). The most basic tenets and concepts of each religion — *by which it is defined* — **are exclusive of other religions** — whether we, or Jorge, like it or not.

**Let us be absolutely clear about this: Ecumenism is *not* a coherent concept in either Islam or Judaism** — it is a novel and spurious concept unique to the “Post-Conciliar catholicism” that emerged from — **and was anathema prior to** — that counter-Catholic Council called Vatican II.

What Congar eagerly sought — and what Bergoglio ruthlessly implemented — as we see above, was a **“different church” *other than* the Roman Catholic Church**

of 2000 years — while retaining the name as a misnomer necessary to fostering its illegitimate credibility.

**SCHISM**

Francis may yet get it — but at the cost of schism.

His (and his predecessors’) counterfeit “**Post-Conciliar-catholic-church**” has long since ceased to resemble the authentic Roman Catholic Church prior to Vatican II. When the charade utterly fails — and it would appear imminent — and the pews empty (together with heterosexual seminaries), the “Post-Conciliar-catholic-church” will be unsustainable: it will be bereft of the eagerly sought contributions of the Faithful with which it is swollen, and which it has much abused on projects having nothing to do with either God or the Faith, and most especially with the *propagation* of the Faith.

Awoken from the illusion of a spurious continuity with the 2000 year old Church of their forefathers — now preempted and dispossessed — the truly Faithful will recognize that Catholicism and Paganism — that Christ and Pachamama — are *absolutely distinct and irreconcilable*, and *totally inimical* to each other — that they must choose Christ and His One, True, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church,

of which **HE** alone is the Head and we the members, to the exclusion of all else (and every imposter), especially the World and its “friendship”<sup>1</sup> for which Bergoglio and the Modernist Episcopacy lusts ...

... together with the increasingly distinct possibility of **Schism**.

Christ admonishes us that “**No man can serve two masters.**” (Saint Matthew 6.24) — neither can we worship Christ and Pachama (*and* every other false god), nor God and the World — as the “Post-Conciliar church of Accompaniment” (or the “Church of the New Advent”<sup>2</sup> — you choose) would deceive us to believe otherwise.

Understanding this clearly, the prospect of Schism increasingly appears likely. Indeed, Saint Paul warns us:

“**Bear not the yoke with unbelievers. For what participation hath justice with injustice? Or what fellowship hath light with darkness? And what concord hath Christ with Belial?**

**Or what part hath the faithful with the unbeliever?”** (2 Corinthians 6.14-15)

The hour soon approaches when sides must be chosen — and at great cost.

What made you think for a moment that a Kingdom could be had for less?

Geoffrey K. Mondello  
Editor  
Boston Catholic Journal



[Printable PDF Version](#)

Comments? Write us: [editor@boston-catholic-journal.com](mailto:editor@boston-catholic-journal.com)

---

<sup>1</sup> “For all that is in the world, is the concupiscence of the flesh, and the concupiscence of the eyes, and the pride of life, which is not of the Father, but is of the world. And the world passeth away, and the concupiscence thereof: but he that doth the will of God, abideth for ever.” (1 Saint John 2.16-17)

<sup>2</sup> [https://www.newadvent.org/library/docs\\_jp02rh.htm](https://www.newadvent.org/library/docs_jp02rh.htm)



Copyright © 2004 - 2021 Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.