



Boston Catholic Journal



NIHIL NISI JESUM
DEDICATED TO MARY, MOTHER OF GOD

NIHIL NISI JESUM
DEDICATED TO MARY MOTHER OF GOD

www.boston-catholic-journal.com
editor@boston-catholic-journal.com

“Non habemus *authentice* Catholicus Papa?” *



1958 — the Year of the Last *Authentically Catholic Pope?*

It is typically ,the prerogative of the Protodeacon of the College of Cardinals who ceremoniously proclaims the election of a new pope with the words “*Habemus Papam!*”, or “We have a Pope” — following a conclave of the Cardinal Electors who nominated him.

With something far more profound and painful than “regret”, we have come to acknowledge what had become increasingly obvious — and which found its clearest expression, its culmination, in the neo-pagan “papacy” of Jorge Bergoglio (“Francis”).

The *See of Rome* is no longer *authentically Catholic* — in the way that Catholicism had been understood and practiced for the 2000 years preceding Vatican II.

This is *not* to say that “Pope Francis” — is not a *legitimate* pope.

He *is* a legitimate pope — but he is *not* an *authentically Catholic* pope.

Confused?

Legitimacy pertains to law or legality — in other words, conforming to canonical standards and requirements — *authenticity* pertains to the substance, to what is *substantive* (L. *substantia* — “the quality of being real”, “the reality of a thing, as distinct from outward appearance”¹.) In other words, it pertains to what possesses **reality** as distinct from appearances, or in the present case, simply meeting criteria to be deemed *legal*.

An example may help

Jack Ma Jun is legitimately the owner of *Alibaba*, a Chinese technology giant involved in e-commerce, retail, and Internet assets — whose **personal** net worth is \$38 billion dollars — but he is also a member of the *Chinese Communist Party*. Communism, you may remember, eliminated private ownership of the *means of production* together with all *class distinctions* — *all property is publicly owned* and each person works and is paid according to their abilities and needs. All are equally “comrades” sharing in a wealth commonly generated and commonly distributed.

As a member of the Chinese Communist Party, Jack is *legitimately* one of the Proletariat, eschewing private ownership, personal wealth, a disproportionate share

in the means of common production, and is in the same “Class” as a rice farmer (the average Chinese annual income is approximately \$1,375 USD). Jack Ma Yun is *legitimately* a member of the Communist Party, although he is indisputably an *authentic Capitalist* — but he is not and *cannot* be a *legitimate Capitalist* — for Capitalism is the antithesis of Communism: it is the *Enemy of the People*. Even while Jack is overseeing more than 100 Chinese companies, and making 38 \$Billion dollars in the process, these companies are, somehow, nevertheless considered “state-run entities” under the central control of government.

While a *legitimate* Communist Party member, Jack Ma Yan nevertheless remains *authentically* a Capitalist. The two do not coincide.

An Enormous Crisis of Identity

The *authentic* Catholic Church ceased to be *identifiably* and *authentically* Catholic following that calamitous consistory known to us as “Vatican II” which occurred between October 11, 1962 until December 8, 1965. Since that initial and unprecedented *defection* from *Sacred Tradition*² by Angelo Giuseppe Roncalli “John XXIII”, and Giovanni Montini, or Pope Paul VI who concluded the Council. These two pontiffs, in collaboration with what appears to have been a body of

disaffected cardinals³ who nominated them, sought to implement what had basically become little more than a *Modernist agenda* with many of the implicitly heretical *ideologies* that defined it — and which Saint Pope Pius X had clearly enumerated in his encyclical [*Pascendi Dominici Gregis*](#) in 1907, stating that *Modernism is the “synthesis of all heresies”*, and therefore unequivocally antithetical to the One, True, Holy Catholic Church of the preceding 2000 years.

As a matter of public record and verifiable assertions, Jorge Bergoglio appears to be the *de facto* “pope” of what has become, in significant aspects, a possibly *new religion* altogether; a *secularized* religion that *superficially resembles* the authentic Catholic Church as it existed prior to 1962 — which it has largely denounced — while choosing to retain a simulacrum of it. It is a church that has been laboriously articulated through a *secular lens* and then framed in terms largely acceptable to *Protestantism* and inseparable from it, the new evangel of *Ecumenism* — rather than promoting the Gospel through the unparalleled missionary zeal that had accompanied the Church for millennia.

In other words, Jorge is, essentially, an actively and *legally presiding High Priest* — but of a *deeply infected “Conciliar Church”* that is, in many ways, *distinct from, and literally opposed to, the authentic “Holy Roman Catholic Church”* of 2000 years. The *conclave* that elected Francis was overwhelmingly compromised

even if it was canonically lawful; and while *appearing* to have the faculties of electors — but obstinately remaining in a state of heresy — they in fact possessed the *legitimate* (the *legal*) right to vote in a papal conclave, but that *legal* right does not confer *authentically* Catholic credentials which would be morally binding to do so. [See Saint Gallen conspiratorial group](#)

The *formal* papacy of Jorge Bergoglio is unquestionably the most *perfidious* and *destructive* in the 2000 year history of the Catholic Church. We do not “judge” *the man* — that is reserved to God. We do, however, examine his *public* statements and actions in light of the *Sacred Deposit of Faith and Tradition* (*Tradere*: “to hand down, to pass on” ¹⁾ entrusted to Holy Mother Church by God — and find them irreconcilable with *Sacred Scripture*, *Sacred Tradition*, the *Depositum Fidei*, and *the canons of logic*.

The question now is, *where* are we to find the One, True, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church from time immemorial? It *is* and *ever will be*. Christ promised as much. But if the “First See” can no longer be found in Rome, where is it? Where is the “*authentic*” Chair of Saint Peter rather than its *legal* simulacrum? And if we *can* discover it, who occupies it? — if anyone! If we hold that “the Chair is empty” in light of the repudiation of orthodox Catholic canons— are we to be understood

as “Sedevacantists” ... or simply “orthodox”?

God by any other name ...

Is, then, being an *orthodox Catholic*, or even a Sedevacantist (who holds that the Chair of Saint Peter is *temporarily* vacant) more *scandalous* than a “Conciliar Catholic” which maintains that there is no inconsistency in worshipping Pachamama idols together with Jesus Christ, or who holds that the God of the Catholic Saints and Martyrs is the same god as Islam’s Allah (a concept *no* Muslim would tolerate)? Or, for that matter, that the Sixth Commandment against adultery is not incompatible with divorce, and that, moreover, cohabitating adulterers can receive Holy Communion in good conscience — *no matter what God said!* Are “*Post-Conciliar Catholics*” more in keeping with the mind of Christ in promoting “Accompaniment” (in sin, more often than not) as more vital than *conversion* to the end of the *salvation of souls*— indeed, that the efforts of Missionaries to proselytize pagans into the one true Faith is really just so much “solemn nonsense”, as Jorge describes it?

We believe, rather, that we must be understood simply as *orthodox Catholics had always been understood*: as faithful to the Sacred Deposit of Faith and the *authentic* Magisterium of the Church over the two millennia preceding “Vatican

II”, the widely vaunted “Aggiornamento”, and the unmitigated abdication of *Catholicism* in the pursuit of *Ecumenism* and the Hydra it spawned as it ineluctably became pantheistic.

Largely *secular* issues such as discrete national states, politics, economics, environmentalism, commercial ventures, social justice, global warming, immigration, sovereign borders, aboriginal cultures, plastic in the ocean — to mention a few — have no place in an institution established solely to the end of the *salvation of souls*. Their strident advocates are many and broadly strewn throughout the “City of Man”. Only one institution — the Holy Catholic Church — is the sole advocate of the “City of God” to which it calls all men to eternal salvation and everlasting happiness.

Much, much, more remains to be said — so much of *authentic* Catholicism remains to be articulated against the *hyphenated-Catholics*:

- Neocatechumenal Way “Catholics”
- New-Age Catholics
- Charismatic-Catholics
- Neo-Catholics
- Progressive-Catholics
- Traditional-Catholics

- Orthodox-Catholics
- Liberal-Catholics
- Progressive Catholics
- Conservative-Catholics
- Trad-Catholics
- Neo-Trad Catholics
- “Recognize and Resist” Catholics

So much remains to be re-acquired *because it has not been taught for 60 years. It has been forgotten, contemptuously dismissed, suppressed, and ridiculed by Jorge Bergoglio as “rigid”* (by which assessment Christ is the paradigm of “rigidity”, for He was *absolutely inflexible* in His teachings! May we be as inflexible, and as *rigid*, as our Lord!) and by his *five predecessors* as “unenlightened by new and ever-evolving secular *ideologies*” — or as Jorge dismissively claims, simply “*Stuck in the past.*”

Grains of Incense

- Joseph Ratzinger (“Benedict XVI”)
- Karol Józef Wojtyła (“John Paul II”)
- Albino Luciani (“John Paul I”)
- Giovanni Montini (“Paul VI”)

- Angelo Roncalli (“John XXIII”) the Proto-New-Age-Pontiff with the clarion to “*throw open the windows of the Church*”

Each of the above shamefully offered more than “a grain of incense” to false gods on the altar of Ecumenism in [Assisi](#) and elsewhere. The kissing of the Koran by nominally Catholic popes was not simply scandalous, but treasonable to the Catholic Faith and to Christ Himself to Whom alone allegiance and *latria* is due. Jorge’s claim that virtually all religions worship the same God is a blatant abrogation of the very First Commandment: “*Thou shalt not have strange gods before me.*” (Exodus 20.3). There are *far too many instances to enumerate* in which the One, True, Holy Catholic Faith is either attenuated, ignored, or dismissed altogether by every pontiff since Pope Pius XII.

Of course we understand that such insistence on authentic Catholicism, on the factual rendering of the Gospels and Epistles, the Church Fathers, and the Sacred Deposit of Faith and Tradition will be greeted with much enmity, contempt, ridicule, and disdain. Actually, we delight in this! It has ever been our conviction that a faithful Catholic cannot be on mutually good terms with the *World and God*. Saint James was clear about this: “*Know you not that the friendship of this world is the enemy of God?*” (St. James 4.4)

We choose God.

“If the world hates you, know that it hath hated Me before you. If you had been of the world, the world would love its own: but because you are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hates you.” (Saint John 15.18-19)

O, hope beyond joy!

It remains to be said that the reluctant position into which we have found ourselves forced was *not of our own choosing*; it was, and remains deeply painful. Obedience to the pope was the *sine qua non* of every orthodox Catholic. It was unthinkable that a pope would openly contradict God, Holy Scripture, the Sacred Deposit of Faith, and Tradition. Indeed, *to defend all four* was, as we say, his “job description” — even to the point of the shedding of his blood. He was the faithful shepherd when all others fled. He laid down his life for his flock — as Christ did for him. He did not lead them into strange and foreign pastures, nor did he open the gate of the sheepfold to flocks that were not his own. Should a wolf in sheep’s clothing attempt to enter, his staff was ready and swift. After all, the sheep were entrusted to him, *to do his master’s will — not his own*. When this obligation to obedience, however, became obedience to sin and false gods, we fled the false shepherd who urged us to “accompany” him in implementing the priorities of the world, rather than the evangel of Christ.

We never left the sheepfold and never will — it was the shepherd who fled the fold
to bring in recreants to mingle with and adulterate the faithful, and we can no
longer call him our own — who belongs to these strange others as well. In the
absence of a true shepherd, if we must bar the gate ourselves and at so great a cost
to ourselves, bar it we will until the one with the Key arrives at the time of God's
choosing.

Geoffrey K. Mondello
Editor
Boston Catholic Journal



Copyright © 2004 - 2023 Boston Catholic Journal. All rights reserved.